This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

| BLACK BORDERS
| IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
| FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
| BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
| SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
| COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
| LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
| REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
| OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,381	02/15/2002	Satoshi Misaka	NIT-321	7226	
7	590 09/14/2004		EXAM	INER	
Mattingly, Stanger & Malur, P.C.			DUNCAN, MARC M		
	Suite 370 1800 Diagonal Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, V	A 22314		2113		

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,	12
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- V
Office Action Comments	10/075,381	MISAKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc M Duncan	2113	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ido will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 February 2002		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal matt		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2002 is/	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S Paper No/s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 1-4 are ostensibly method claims. A method claim requires a recitation of positive process steps. Claims 1-4 do not contain any recitations of positive process steps whatsoever and, in fact, appear to be apparatus claims and not method claims. In addition, claims 1-4 state "A computing system construction method." The examiner cannot determine anything in the claims that results in a method of constructing a computer. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. The examiner is

Application/Control Number: 10/075,381

Art Unit: 2113

unable to determine the metes and bounds of the claims from the current claim language and is therefore unable to provide a reasonable comparison with the prior art.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the application program" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said application program" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the common error code" in line 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said application program" in lines 21-22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said common error" in line 23. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the numerical range" in line 24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said common error code" in line 26. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said common error code" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said common error code" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the zero promotion" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said common error code" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the common error code" in line 18. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said common error code" in line 23. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the numerical value" in line 24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said common error code" in line 26. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the common error code" in line 29. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 5, as currently written, attempts to claim a program. A program is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter, and is in fact an abstract idea and therefore cannot be considered to be statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,381 Page 5

Art Unit: 2113

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc M Duncan whose current telephone number is 703-305-4622. The examiner's telephone number as of October 15, 2004 will be 571-272-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T and TH-F 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 703-305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

md

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT FX6MINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTS